

# ● PRINTER RUSH ●

(PTO ASSISTANCE)

Application : <u>09/326308</u>	Examiner : <u>Strimbu</u>	GAU : <u>3634</u>
From : <u>LAS</u>	Location : <u>IDC</u> FMF FDC	Date : <u>11-10-05</u>
Tracking # : <u>epm 09/326308</u>		Week Date : <u>9-19-05</u>

DOC CODE	DOC DATE	MISCELLANEOUS
<input type="checkbox"/> 1449	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Continuing Data
<input type="checkbox"/> IDS	_____	<input type="checkbox"/> Foreign Priority
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> CLM	<u>4-12-2002</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Document Legibility
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> IIFW	<u>6-7-99</u>	<input type="checkbox"/> Fees
<input type="checkbox"/> SRFW	_____	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other <u>Abstract</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> DRW	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> OATH	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> 312	_____	
<input type="checkbox"/> SPEC	_____	

**[RUSH] MESSAGE:**

1. Index disagrees: Original claims 22, 26, 27, 38, 39 and 41 are listed on the Index of Claims, but are canceled in the claims dated 4-12-2002. Also, new claims 44 to 47 are not included on the Index of Claims.
2. The abstract is missing from the file.

Thank you

**[XRUSH] RESPONSE:**

- 1) The index of claims does not disagree. Claims 22, 26, 27, 38, 39 and 41 were not canceled in the response of 4/12/02 because that response was never entered. Therefore, new claims 44 to 47 should not be included in the index of claims.
- 2) The abstract is not missing. See the entry of 10/14/99 listed as CLM

INITIALS: GJS

NOTE: This form will be included as part of the official USPTO record, with the Response document coded as XRUSH.